# Symmetric Extension of Overcomplete Tensor Decomposition via Koszul-Young Flattenings

Yu Luo\*1 and Alexander S. Wein<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, UC Davis

#### Abstract

This is an extension of the rank detection theorem proved in [KMW24]. Instead of considering tensors composed of rank-1 terms of the form  $a \otimes b \otimes c$  for generic vectors a, b, c, we consider those of the form  $a \otimes a \otimes a$ .

### 1 Introduction

In [KMW24], Kothari, Moitra, and Wein introduced an efficient rank detecting algorithm for order-3 generic tensors T via the Koszul-Young flattening. In this writing piece, we extend this to symmetric order-3 tensors T. That is, we consider tensors T of the form

$$T = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a^{(\ell)} \otimes a^{(\ell)} \otimes a^{(\ell)},$$

where  $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are generic vectors. The goal is to determine r, given the entries  $T = (T_{ijk})$ .

#### 2 Rank Detection

The original rank detection method proposed in [KMW24] does not work in the symmetric case. We propose a similar but altered method here.

Suppose T is an  $n \times n \times n$  tensor, and fix integers p, q = 2p + 1 with  $1 \le p < q < n$ . Since q depends on p, we will not mention q as an input variable. Define a matrix M = M(T; p) with rows indexed by

$$\{(S,j) : S \subseteq [q], |S| = p, j \in [n-q]\},\$$

columns indexed by

$$\{(U,k): U \subseteq [q], |U| = p+1, k \in [n-q]\},\$$

and entries

$$M_{Sj,Uk} := \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbb{1}_{U=S \sqcup \{i\}} \cdot \sigma(U,i) \cdot T_{i,(j+q),(k+q)}. \tag{1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, UC Davis

<sup>\*</sup>Email: ayuluo@ucdavis.edu

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \text{Email: } \textit{aswein@ucdavis.edu.}$  Partially supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF CAREER Award CCF-2338091.

Here,  $\mathbb{1}_A$  denotes the  $\{0,1\}$ -valued indicator for an event A, and  $\sigma(U,i) \in \{\pm 1\}$  is the parity of i's position in U, that is,

$$\sigma(U,i) := (-1)^{|\{j \in U : j < i\}|}.$$
(2)

Note though it is written as a summation, at most one term in the sum in (1) is nonzero, which is when  $U = S \sqcup \{i\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose T is a rank-1 tensor, i.e.  $T = a \otimes a \otimes a$ . Let  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and split a into  $\widehat{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_q)$  and  $\widetilde{a} = (a_{q+1}, \ldots a_n)$ . Then the flattening M = M(T; p) from (1) is the Kronecker product  $M = A(\widehat{a}; p) \otimes (\widetilde{a}\widetilde{a}^{\top})$  where  $A = A(\widehat{a}; p)$  is the  $\binom{q}{p} \times \binom{q}{p+1}$  matrix

$$A_{SU} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbb{1}_{U=S \sqcup \{i\}} \cdot \sigma(U, i) \cdot a_i. \tag{3}$$

As a consequence, as long as  $\tilde{a}$  is not the zero vector, M has the same rank as A.

The lemma below shows that the matrix A is rank-deficient, with generic rank  $\binom{q-1}{p}$ :

**Lemma 2.2.** [KMW24, Lemma 3.1] Consider the matrix  $A = A(\hat{a}; p)$  defined in (3). Let q = 2p + 1. If  $a_1, \ldots, a_q$  are all zero then A = 0 and so rank(A) = 0. Otherwise,  $rank(A) = {q-1 \choose p}$ . Furthermore, if  $a_i \neq 0$  for some  $i \in [q]$  then the columns of A indexed by  $\{U : i \in U\}$  form a basis for the column span of A, and similarly the rows  $\{S : i \notin S\}$  form a basis for the row span.

For proof of Lemma 2.2, see Section 3.1 of [KMW24].

#### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1** (Symmetric Tensor Rank Detection). Let  $1 \le p < q = 2p + 1 < n$ . If  $T = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a^{(\ell)} \otimes a^{(\ell)} \otimes a^{(\ell)}$  is  $n \times n \times n$  with generically chosen  $a^{(\ell)}$ 's, and

$$r \le \left(2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)n - (6p+2)$$

then the matrix M(T;p) defined in (1) has rank exactly  $r\binom{q-1}{p}$ .

For intuition, assume  $1 \ll p \ll n$ . Then we have  $(2 - \frac{1}{p+1}) \to 2$  as  $p \to \infty$ . Moreover, since we assume n grows much faster than p, then 6p + 2 is negligible compared to the leading n term. Therefore, the condition above is roughly  $r \leq 2n$ , asymptotically.

*Proof.* This proof will mostly follow proof of Theorem 2.4 in [KMW24], with a few adjustments to fit our symmetric case. We start by separating  $a^{(\ell)} = (a_1^{(\ell)}, a_2^{(\ell)}, \dots, a_q^{(\ell)}, a_{q+1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, a_n^{(\ell)})$  into  $\widehat{a}^{(\ell)} = (a_1^{(\ell)}, a_2^{(\ell)}, \dots, a_q^{(\ell)})$  and  $\widetilde{a}^{(\ell)} = (a_{q+1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, a_n^{(\ell)})$ . We are going to use  $\widehat{a}^{(\ell)}$  to construct A. By Lemma 2.1, the equation for M becomes  $M = \sum_{\ell=1}^r A(\widehat{a}^{(\ell)}; p) \otimes (\widetilde{a}^{(\ell)} \widetilde{a}^{(\ell)})$ .

Since a is generic,  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in [q]$ . Then, the matrix  $A = A(\widehat{a}; p)$  defined in (3) can be factored as  $A = \operatorname{diag}(v) \cdot \tilde{A} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(w)$  where  $\tilde{A}_{SU} := A(\mathbb{1}; p) = \sum_{i \in [q]} \mathbb{1}_{U = S \sqcup \{i\}} \cdot \sigma(U, i), v_S = \prod_{i \in S} a_i^{-1}$ , and  $w_U = \prod_{i \in U} a_i$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have  $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{A}) = \binom{q-1}{p}$ . Therefore, we can factor  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}^{\top}$ 

where  $\tilde{Q}$ ,  $\tilde{R}$  are  $\binom{q}{p} \times \binom{q-1}{p}$  and  $\binom{q}{p+1} \times \binom{q-1}{p}$ , respectively. Now write  $A = QR^{\top}$  where  $Q = \operatorname{diag}(v) \cdot \tilde{Q}$  and  $R = \operatorname{diag}(w) \cdot \tilde{R}$ . Factor M as

$$M = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} A(\widehat{a}^{(\ell)}; p) \otimes (\widetilde{a}^{(\ell)} \widetilde{a}^{(\ell)\top}) = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{(1)} & Q^{(r)} \\ \otimes & \cdots & \otimes \\ \widetilde{a}^{(1)} & \widetilde{a}^{(r)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R^{(1)} & R^{(r)} \\ \otimes & \cdots & \otimes \\ \widetilde{a}^{(1)} & \widetilde{a}^{(r)} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} =: Q' R'^{\top}$$
(4)

Note in the symmetric case, M is square, so each Kronecker product has dimension  $\binom{q}{p}(n-q) \times \binom{q-1}{p}$ . The equation above gives a factorization of M as the product of two matrices with inner dimension  $r\binom{q-1}{p}$  and outer dimension  $\binom{q}{p}(n-q) = \binom{q}{p+1}(n-q)$  (since q=2p+1). To show M has rank exactly  $r\binom{q-1}{p}$ , we are going to argue it is enough to show that both Q' and R' have full column rank. Notice by the decomposition definition of rank of a matrix,  $\operatorname{rank}(M) \leq r\binom{q-1}{p} =: R$ . Moreover, if we assume Q' has full column rank and  $R \leq \binom{q}{p}(n-q)$ , the outer dimension of Q', then Q' has an  $R \times R$  nonzero minor. A similar argument applies to R'. Thus, M has an  $R \times R$  nonzero minor, which shows  $\operatorname{rank}(M) \geq R$ .

Let m be the smallest integer such that  $r \leq mq$ . Note we can increase number of columns of Q' to r' = mq by adding newly generated generic copies of Kronecker product  $Q^{(r+i)} \otimes \tilde{a}^{(r+i)}$ . If we can show the enlarged matrix has linearly independent columns, then Q' also has linearly independent columns. Consider the first  $r'\binom{q-1}{p}$  rows of the enlarged matrix and call it P; this is a square submatrix that uses all the columns, and it consists of an  $m \times m$  grid of square blocks that each have dimension  $(p+1)\binom{q}{p} = q\binom{q-1}{p}$ . Each block contains scaled copies of Q in a  $(p+1) \times q$  grid, and recall that Q has dimensions  $\binom{q}{p} \times \binom{q-1}{p}$ . Above, we needed the enlarged Q' to have more rows than columns. That is, we need  $\binom{q}{p}(n-q) \geq r'\binom{q-1}{p} \Leftrightarrow \binom{q}{p}(n-q) \geq mq\binom{q-1}{p} \Leftrightarrow \binom{q}{p}(n-q) \geq m(p+1)\binom{q}{p} \Leftrightarrow n-q \geq m(p+1)$ .

It now suffices to show  $\det(P)$  is nonzero as a polynomial in the symbolic variables a. To do this, it suffices to show this statement is true after plugging in zero for certain variables  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\ell)}$  such that only the m square blocks on the diagonal of P remain nonzero. That is, we only need to show the determinant is not zero for all square blocks that lie on the diagonal of P. Take one block

$$P' = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{(1+q)1}Q^{(1)} & \tilde{a}_{(1+q)2}Q^{(2)} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{(1+q),q}Q^{(q)} \\ \tilde{a}_{(2+q)1}Q^{(1)} & \tilde{a}_{(2+q)2}Q^{(2)} & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \tilde{a}_{2q-p,1}Q^{(1)} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{2q-p,q}Q^{(q)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we argue the rest of the proof that shows  $\det(P') \neq 0$  is identical to the argument in [KMW24]. Since a is generically chosen, then  $\hat{a}$  and  $\tilde{a}$  are also both generic. Note  $\tilde{a}$  functions as b, and  $\hat{a}$  functions as a in the original proof. Thus, the symmetry in the original tensor will not affect how we construct P'. Hence, the same argument of finding one unique nonzero monomial from  $\det(P')$  to show  $\det(P') \neq 0$  also works here in our case.

Similarly, we can use the same argument to conclude that R' has full column rank, provided  $n-q \ge m(p+1)$ .

Above, we needed two inequalities to hold:  $r \leq mq$  and  $n-q \geq m(p+1)$ . That is,

$$\frac{r}{q} \le m \le \frac{n-q}{p+1}.$$

Note m needs to be an integer by construction, so it suffices to have

$$\frac{r}{q} \le \frac{n-q}{p+1} - 1.$$

Multiplying by q on both sides and recalling q = 2p + 1, we have

$$r \le \frac{q(n-q)}{p+1} - q = (2 - \frac{1}{p+1})n - \frac{q(p+1+q)}{p+1},$$

which leads to

$$r \leq (2 - \frac{1}{p+1})n - (6p + \frac{p+2}{p+1}) \leq (2 - \frac{1}{p+1})n - (6p+2) \quad \forall \ p \geq 1.$$

## References

[KMW24] Pravesh K. Kothari, Ankur Moitra, and Alexander S. Wein. Overcomplete tensor decomposition via koszul—young flattenings. *Proceedings of the 56th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, 2024.